The Diagnostic Value of Next Generation Sequencing in Familial Nonsyndromic Congenital Heart Defects

Yaojuan Jia,¹ Jacoba J. Louw,^{1,2} Jeroen Breckpot,^{1,3} Bert Callewaert,⁴ Catherine Barrea,⁵ Yves Sznajer,⁶ Marc Gewillig,² Erika Souche,¹ Luc Dehaspe,¹ Joris Robert Vermeesch,¹ Diether Lambrechts,^{7,8} Koenraad Devriendt,¹ and Anniek Corveleyn^{1*}

¹Department of Human Genetics, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

²Department of Congenital and Pediatric Cardiology, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

³Department of Pediatrics, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

⁴Center for Medical Genetics, University of Ghent, Ghent, Belgium

⁵Department of Congenital and Pediatric Cardiology, Universit, é, Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium

⁶Center for Human Genetics, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium

⁷Department of Oncology, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

⁸Vesalius Research Center, VIB, Leuven, Belgium

Manuscript Received: 9 October 2014; Manuscript Accepted: 19 March 2015

To determine the diagnostic value of massive parallel sequencing of a panel of known cardiac genes in familial nonsyndromic congenital heart defects (CHD), targeted sequencing of the coding regions of 57 genes previously implicated in CHD was performed in 36 patients from 13 nonsyndromic CHD families with probable autosomal dominant inheritance. Following variant analysis and Sanger validation, we identified six potential disease causing variants in three genes (*MYH6*, *NOTCH1*, and *TBX5*), which may explain the defects in six families. Several problematic situations were encountered when performing genotype-phenotype correlations in the families to confirm the causality of these variants.

In conclusion, by screening known CHD-associated genes in well-selected nonsyndromic CHD families and cautious variant interpretation, potential causative variants were identified in less than half of the families (6 out of 13; 46%). Variant interpretation remains a major challenge reflecting the complex genetic cause of CHD. 2015 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

Key words: congenital heart defects; genetic testing; massive parallel sequencing

INTRODUCTION

Isolated CHD are generally thought to have a multifactorial origin, though in a small proportion of cases, estimated at 4%, there is a clear familial recurrence [Oyen et al., 2009; Oyen et al., 2010; van der Bom et al., 2011], suggesting a single genetic cause. The inheritance pattern is typically autosomal dominant with variable

How to Cite this Article:

Jia Y,Louw JJ, Breckpot J, Callewaert B, Barrea C, Sznajer Y, Gewillig M, Souche E, Dehaspe L, Vermeesch JR, Lambrechts D, Devriendt K, Corveleyn A. 2015. The diagnostic value of next generation sequencing in familial nonsyndromic congenital heart defects.

Am J Med Genet Part A 9999A:1-8.

expression and reduced penetrance. More rarely, autosomal recessive or X-linked inheritance exists. Traditional cloning approaches have led to the identification of a large number of genes for nonsyndromic CHD [Schott et al., 1998; Garg et al., 2003; Garg et al., 2005; Kirk et al., 2007], but each of these genes is implicated only in a small proportion of familial CHD. Genes associated with CHD can be found in CHDWiki [Barriot et al., 2010] (http://www. esat.kuleuven.be/~bioiuser/chdwiki).

Traditional genetic diagnosis of a large panel of candidate genes suffers from limitations in sequencing capacity. Nowa-

*Correspondence to: Anniek Corveleyn, Department of Human Genetics, KU Leuven, Herestraat 49, B3000 Leuven, Belgium. E-mail: anniek.corveleyn@uzleuven.be Article first published online in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com): 00 Month 2015 DOI 10.1002/ajmg.a.37108

Conflict of interest: None.

days, this is overcome by next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies, which enable large-scale DNA sequencing. Selective enrichment followed by massive parallel sequencing of the genomic regions of interest has been widely applied in genetic research, and is gradually being implemented in clinical diagnostic settings [Ellard et al., 2013; Mook et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013].

MATERIALS AND METHODS Families and Clinic Phenotypes

Thirteen families with multiple affected individuals with CHD were recruited from the genetic and cardiology clinics in three University Hospitals in Belgium (Leuven 11, Ghent 1 and Louvainla-Neuve 1). Inclusion criteria were families with a nonsyndromic CHD in at least three first or second degree relatives. The type of CHD needed to be confirmed by cardiac ultrasound, and the predominant heart defect in the family is known to be etiologically heterogeneous (thus excluding e.g. families with supravalvular aortic stenosis, known to be exclusively caused by ELN gene mutations). Unaffected individuals included in the study were examined by cardiac ultrasound. Moreover, DNA from at least one affected individual was available. Informed consent was obtained from all participants or their legal representative. The study conforms to the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki, and was approved by the local ethical committee of the UZ Leuven (S52853). The pedigrees of the families are shown in Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure 1. Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral white blood cells in all individuals.

Probe Design

We selected 57 genes (Table I) known to harbor pathogenic variants in CHD cases based on the literature and CHDWiki (January 2012). Our main interest was in nonsyndromic CHD genes. However, since many syndromes have variable expressivity, we also included syndromic CHD genes. The custom capture arrays or in-solution probe libraries were synthesized by NimbleGen (Roche NimbleGen, Inc., Madison, WI), including repeat-masked sequences of all exons of all possible transcripts with 50 bp intronic and 1 kb promoter region sequences. The tiling probes cover above 92% bases in the 523 kb target region.

Targeted Massive Parallel Sequencing

Library construction for all samples followed the TruSeq DNA Sample Preparation Protocol using Illumina DNA sample preparation kits (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA). 4 or 5 indexed samples were pooled for one hybrid capture. All exons of the target genes were captured through hybridization with custom designed nucleotide probes, by either NimbleGen Sequence Capture 385K Arrays (on-slides) or, in the second part of the study by NimbleGen SeqCap EZ Choice library (in-solution). The target-enriched libraries were amplified and sequenced by the Illumina HiSeq2000 paired-end sequencing.

Sequencing Data and Variant Analysis

Bioinformatics processing of the sequencing data was done using the GATK pipeline. The paired-end reads were mapped against the human reference genome hg19 using BWA (0.6.2). GATK Uni-



Fig. 1. Pedigrees of six CHD families where potential causative variants were identified. The index patient in each family is marked with an arrow. The patients/carriers tested by targeted next-generation sequencing are marked with asterisk (*). Family members carrying/not carrying the variant are marked with + /-.

Gene	Phenotype correlation	ОМІМ	Genomic coordinates (GRCh37/hg19)
Nonsyndromic			
ACTC1	ASD	102540	chr15:35,080,296–35,087,926
ACVR2B	Heterotaxy/PS	602730	chr3:38,495,789-38,534,632
ALDH1A2	TOF	603687	chr15:58,245,621-58,358,120
ANKRD1	TAPVC	609599	chr10:92.671.857-92.681.032
ſFſ1	της/Ιαα	605194	chr2·131 350 334–131 357 081
CITED2		602937	chr6.139 693 395–139 695 784
CRELD1		602331	chr3.9 975 523_9 987 096
FIN		120160	chr7.73 112 126 73 181 236
EDVC2		CO2402	c_{111} , $3, 442, 420 - 13, 404, 230$
		602402	c_{11110}
		003021	c_{110}
FUXLI		603252	
GATA4	ASD/PS/TUF/VSD	600576	
GATAB	ASD/PTA/PS/PDA	601656	chr18:19,749,415–19,782,226
GDF1	Heterotaxy/IGA/IUF	602880	chr19:18,979,360–19,006,952
HAND1	ASD/VSD/AVSD/TOF/HLHS	602406	chr5:153,854,533-153,857,824
LEFTY2	Heterotaxy/TGA/AVSD	601877	chr1:226,124,297-226,129,082
MCTP2	COA	NA	chr15: 94,774,767–95,027,180
MED13L	TGA	608771	chr12:116,396,380-116,714,990
MYH11	PDA/TAAD	160745	chr16:15,796,991–15,950,886
МҮНБ	ASD/Tricuspid atresia	160710	chr14:23,851,198–23,877,485
MYH7	Ebstein's anomaly of tricuspid valve/ASD	160760	chr14:23,881,946–23,903,495
NKX2-5	ASD/VSD/TOF/HLHS	600584	chr5:172,659,106-172,662,314
NKX2-6	PTA	611770	chr8:23,559,963-23,563,921
NODAL	Heterotaxu/TOF	601265	chr10:72,191,691-72,201,464
NOTCH1	BAV/LVOTO	190198	chr9:139.388.895-139.440.237
PDGFRA	TAPVC	173490	chr4:55.095.263-55.164.411
SMAD6	ΒΑΥ/Ας/ΓΛΑ	602931	chr15.66 994 673–67 074 337
TAR2	ΒΔΥ/Ι ΥΟΤΟ	605101	chr6.149 639 435–149 732 746
TRX20		606061	chr7.35 242 041_35 293 710
		187395	chr3.46 616 044 - 46 623 952
7EDM2	TOE	101222	$chr_{0.106}$ 221 1/6 106 016 766
ZFF MIZ 7100		003033	CIII0:100,331,140-100,010,700
LILJ	Heterotaxy/TOA/FS	200202	(117:130,040,345-130,054,250
Syndromic	Ale sille sum dreme /TOE	C01020	ab 20 10 010 221 10 004 002
JAGI	Alagille syndrome/TOP	601920	
NUILHZ	Alagille syndrome	600275	CNr1:120,454,175-120,612,316
IBX5	Holt-Uram syndrome	601620	chr12:114,791,734–114,846,246
IBX3	Ulnar-mammary syndrome	601621	chr12:115,108,058–115,121,968
IBX1	DiGeorge syndrome/IOF	602054	chr22:19,744,225–19,771,115
IFAP2B	Char syndrome	601601	chr6:50,786,438-50,815,325
SHOC2	Noonan syndrome	602775	chr10:112,723,882–112,773,424
PTPN11	Noonan/LEOPARD syndrome	176876	chr12:112,856,535–112,947,716
KRAS	Noonan/Cardiofaciocutaneous syndrome	190070	chr12:25,358,179–25,403,853
<i>SOS1</i>	Noonan syndrome	182530	chr2:39,208,689–39,347,603
RAF1	Noonan/LEOPARD syndrome	164760	chr3:12,625,099–12,705,699
BRAF	Noonan/Cardiofaciocutaneous syndrome	164757	chr7:140,433,811-140, 624,563
MAP2K1	Cardiofaciocutaneous syndrome	176872	chr15:66,679,210–66,783,881
MAP2K2	Cardiofaciocutaneous syndrome	601263	chr19:4,090,318–4,124,125
EHMT1	Kleefstra syndrome	607001	chr9:140,513,443-140,730,578
RAI1	Smith-Magenis syndrome	607642	chr17:17,584,786-17,714,766
SALL4	Duane-radial rau sundrome	607343	chr20:50,400.580-50,419,047
MIT 2	Kabuki sundrome	602113	chr12:49,412 757–49 449 106
HRAS	Costello sundrome	190020	chr11.532.241_535.549
NSD1	Sotos sundrome	606681	chr5.176 560 079_176 727 213
NIPRI	Cornelia de Lande cundrome	600001	chr5.36 976 960 - 27 065 020
SMC1A	Cornelia de Lange syndrome	300040	chrV.52 401 060 57,005,920
SMC 1A	comena de Lange syndronne	500040	(<i>Continued</i>)

TABLE I. 57 Genes Included in Targeted Resequencing Panel for Autosomal Dominant Nonsyndromic CHD

	TABLE I. (Continued)			
Gene	Phenotype correlation	OMIM	Genomic coordinates (GRCh37/hg19)	
SMC3	Cornelia de Lange syndrome	606062	chr10:112,327,448-112,364,391	
CHD7	Charge syndrome	608892	chr8:61,591,323-61,780,586	
ZEB2	Mowat-Wilson syndrome	605802	chr2:145,141,941-145,277,957	

fiedGenotyper (2.4–9) was used for variant calling. Annovar (11-02-2013) was used for functional annotation of detected variants.

The inheritance pattern in the families was compatible with an autosomal dominant pattern with incomplete penetrance, we thus looked for shared variants in affected members and obligate carriers in each family. In Family 12, autosomal recessive inheritance was also considered. Small insertions, deletions, and single nucleotide variants (SNVs) affecting the coding exons or splicing sites of the target genes were considered as candidates. Since the pathogenic variants are supposed not to be frequent in the normal population, we used the 1,000 genomes project and the ESP database as reference to filter out variants with a minor allele frequency (MAF) above 1% in the human population. Synonymous variants were also filtered out. The effects of nonsynonymous variants were predicted by SIFT, PolyPhen2 and MutationTaster. Candidate variants in each family were validated by Sanger sequencing. The identified certain or likely causative variants were submitted to ClinVar (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/) and dbSNP (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/snp) databases.

RESULTS

Targeted Massive Parallel Sequencing

Approximately 70% of the sequences were uniquely aligned to the reference genome, \sim 92% target bases were covered, and \sim 89% target bases were covered with a sequencing depth above 30X. When checking the canonical transcript, 20 exons in 18 genes (Supplementary Table I) were not well covered (sequencing depth < 30X). Most of them are the first exons containing UTR sequences with high GC content, which undergo difficulties during amplification. The coding regions of the 20 exons with low sequencing depth were resequenced by Sanger sequencing.

Variant Analysis

After filtering a total of 45 variants were identified in the 36 patients from 13 families (Supplementary Table II). The number of identified variants ranges from 0 to 6 per patient. Of these 45 variants, six variants presented in multiple families with different phenotypes and did not segregate with the defect in the families. Since they also presented in an in-house exome database, they were either rare local variants in the Belgian population or technical artifacts. One other variant (rs200520088) was confirmed to be false positive by Sanger sequencing. Among the remaining 38 variants, 26 were present in either the 1,000 genome program database or the ESP database with a MAF below 1% (Supplementary Table II). These are thus rare variants, present in the normal population. All of them are missense variants, of which only two are predicted as damaging by all three in silico prediction programs, but they do not segregate with the disease in the family. For the other 12 unique variants, genotyping in 1,000 local controls was performed using Sequenom MassARRAY Platform (Sequenom, Inc., San Diego, CA). Two of them were local rare variants (Supplementary Table II). Taking into account the functional impact, six variants (five novel and one reported) in three genes were identified as functional deleterious variants, which are probably responsible for the defects in six families (Table II, Fig 1). The other six variants were either with non-pathogenic prediction by at least one out of three prediction programs (SIFT, PolyPhen2 and MutationTaster) or did not segregate with the disease in the family, or both. Identified variants in all available family members in the six families were validated by Sanger sequencing.

In Family 1 (Fig 1a), multiple members have atrial septal defect type secundum (ASD II) and/or perimembranous ventricular septal defect (VSD). Two patients have complex cardiopathies. The proband (IV1) has a functional univentricular heart, a dominant double-inlet right-sided morphologically left ventricle and rudimentary left-sided morphologically right ventricle, stenosis of the left atrial-ventricular valve, a VSD with a mild degree of subaortic stenosis and L-transposition of the great arteries (TGA). Her female second cousin (IV3) has a hypoplastic left heart syndrome (HLHS) with a small mitral valve, a malaligned perimembranous VSD causing subaortic stenosis, small left ventricular outflow tract and aorta, and a hypoplastic aortic arch with coarctation. She also has a fenestrated atrial septum. A novel missense variant in MYH6 (c.2033A>G, p.Asn678Ser) was detected, which segregates with the disease in all affected individuals investigated. The variant alters a highly conserved amino acid in the myosin head domain, and is predicted to be functional deleterious by three different in silico prediction programs (SIFT: 0, Polyphen2: 0.978, MutationTaster: disease causing).

In Family 2 (Fig 1b) with variable left ventricular outflow tract obstructions (LVOTO), a heterozygous 1bp frameshift deletion (c.5281del, p.Arg1761Glyfs*37) was identified in *NOTCH1*. The deletion results a premature stop codon, truncating the majority of the Notch1 intracellular domain. Sanger sequencing confirmed the deletion in Patients II5, III5 and IV1, also in three unaffected family members including obligate carrier II1, indicating an incomplete penetrance. The deletion was not carried by Patient I2, who was previously diagnosed with age-related degenerative aortic valve stenosis (AS).

In Family 3 (Fig 1c), two siblings (III2, III3) were diagnosed with HLHS, for which the pregnancies were terminated respectively. Their brother (III4) has double outlet right ventricle (DORV), TGA (type not specified) and pulmonary stenosis (PS). The father (II2) has ASD II, and the paternal grandmother (I2) had patent ductus arteriosus (PDA). We identified a splice donor site variant (c.2014)

Family	Phenotype	Nr of sequenced	Detected variants
1	ASD/VSD	1	MYH6:NM 002471.3:c.2033A>G,p.Asn678Ser
2	HLHS/COA/AS/MS	4	NOTCH1:NM 017617.3: c.5281del, p.Arg1761Glyfs*37
3	DORV/TGA/PS/HLHS	2	NOTCH1:NM 017617.3: c.2014+1G>A
4	COA/AS/HLHS	3	NOTCH1:NM_017617.3: c.5061G>T, p.GIn1687His
5	ASD/VSD	3	TBX5:NM_000192.3:c.709C>T,p.Arg237Trp (rs104894328)
6	ASD/VSD	4	TBX5:NM 000192.3:c.301A>T,p.lle101Phe
			NOTCH1:NM 017617.3:c.5332G>C,p.Ala1778Pro
7	PDA	3	MLL2:NM 003482.3:c.10192A>G,p.Met3398Val (rs75937132)
			NOTCH1:NM 017617.3:c.4129C>T,p.Pro1377Ser (rs61751542)
8	TOF	2	NOTCH1:NM 017617.3:c.6685G>A,p.Val2229Met (rs202096917)
			ZEB2:NM 014795.3:c.2141C>T,p.Pro714Leu (rs112581563)
9	PTA/TOF	2	TBX5:NM 080718.1:c.998C>T,p.Pro333Leu (rs28730762)
10	AS	4	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11	AS	3	_
12	PDA	3	-
13	ASD	2	-
Pathogenic varia	unts probably responsible for the defects	were identified in six families (marke	d in hold)

TABLE II. 12 Uniqu	e Variants Identified b	oy Panel Gene Ta	geted Resea	uencing in 13	3 Autosomal Do	ominant Nonsy	ndromic CHD	Families
		3						

+ 1G>A) in *NOTCH1* in the sister and the brother of the proband, inherited from the mother.

In Family 4 (Fig 1d), the severity of the CHD ranges from AS, coarctation of the aorta (COA) to HLHS. A novel missense variant in *NOTCH1* (c.5061G>T, p.Gln1687His) was identified in the proband (II2) who had COA. This variant changes a highly conserved amino acid in the Notch_NODP domain, with pathogenic in silico predictions (SIFT: 0.01, PolyPhen2: 0.997, MutationTaster: disease causing). According to HGMD (professional 2013.4), no variant in this domain of *NOTCH1* has been described previously. Of interest, this variant was not present in her sister and niece (II4, III3), who presented with AS.

In Families 5 (Fig 1e) and 6 (Fig 1f), presenting ASD and/or VSD, variants were identified in *TBX5*: in Family 5 a previously reported pathogenic variant (c.709C>T, p.Arg237Trp), and in Family 6 a novel missense variant (c.301A>T, p.Ile101Phe) with pathogenic in silico predictions (SIFT: 0, PolyPhen2: 0.948, MutationTaster: disease causing). The p.Arg237Trp variant in Family 5 was carried by all three affected patients (II2, III1 and III3). The p. Ile101Phe variant in Family 6 alters a highly conserved amino acid in the DNA-binding T-box domain, which occurred de novo in Individual II1, and was transmitted to the two affected siblings (III1 and III2). An additional novel variant was identified in *NOTCH1* (c.5332G>C, p.Ala1778Pro) in the three affected members of Family 6, with non-pathogenic in silico predictions.

DISCUSSION

Given the genetic heterogeneity, genetic testing for familial nonsyndromic CHD is challenging. The exceptions are families with defined CHD with a single known causative gene, such as *ELN* and SVAS/PPS [Urban et al., 2000] or *NKX2-5* in families with ASD associated to AV conduction defects [Gutierrez–Roelens et al., 2002]. The present results show that in a significant proportion of well-selected familial CHD (6 out of 13; 46%), a causative variant can be identified using the newest sequencing technology, allowing the massive parallel resequencing of all known cardiac genes in one single assay, which thus holds a value as a diagnostic test. In this study, pathogenic variants were only detected in three genes, *TBX5*, *NOTCH1* and *MYH6*. Of interest, pathogenic variants in the same genes were detected in a recent report using a similar approach as the present study [Blue et al., 2014]. This suggests that in future, a smaller gene panel might be established, taking advantage of the parallel analysis of several genes commonly causing familial CHD, and thus avoiding the burden of numerous variants of unknown significance.

Given the enormous amount of human genetic variation, we anticipated and encountered interpretational difficulties of the found variants. Restricting the analysis to a well-defined panel of validated genes for CHD facilitated the interpretation. In total, using stringent filtering criteria (as described in Materials and Methods section), only 45 potential functionally significant variants were found in these 13 families. In the families where a functional deleterious variant was found, the interpretational challenges remain in assigning causality to these variants.

In all families where a certain or likely causative variant was identified, the found gene matched the previously reported genotype-cardiac phenotype associations. For instance, in Family 1, we identified a *MYH6* missense variant. *MYH6* has been described as the predominant sarcomeric disease gene for familial ASD, and particularly, perturbations in the *MYH6* head domain is thought to be the major genetic cause for familial ASD [Posch et al., 2011]. However, association of mutations in *MYH6* with TGA has previously only been reported once in a mutation analysis study of *MYH6* in patients with a wide spectrum of sporadic CHD [Granados–Riveron et al., 2010]. In three families with LVOTO (Families 2, 3, and 4), we identified three functional deleterious variants in the NOTCH1 gene: a frameshift deletion, a splice site variant and a missense variant. Notch signaling plays an important role in cardiac outflow tract development [de la Pompa and Epstein, 2012]. Missense mutations in NOTCH1 have been found in bicuspid aortic valve (BAV) and left ventricular outflow tract malformations including AS, COA, and HLHS [McBride et al., 2008], NOTCH1 haploinsufficiency resulted from nonsense or frameshift mutations is thought to cause familial aortic valve disease [Garg et al., 2005]. However, missense variants with pathogenic in silico predictions sometimes can be found in normal population. For this reason, without further functional studies, it is difficult to confirm the causality of the missense variant found in Family 4. In Families 5 and 6, two missense variants were identified in TBX5. Mutations in TBX5 are known to cause Holt-Oram Syndrome, which is characterized by skeletal malformations in upper limbs and cardiac defects, most commonly ASD and VSD [Mori and Bruneau, 2004]. Clinically the affected members in these two families present as isolated CHD, without observable limb defect. This is of interest, since TBX5 mutations typically are associated with limb defects, which can be variable. For instance, Cross et al. [2000] reported a family with very mild limb defects in only one affected individual. The fact that TBX5 mutations can be associated with apparently isolated CHD is also supported by the recent finding by Blue et al. [2014] who detected a TBX5 mutation in two families with isolated CHD. A recent study by Baban et al. [2014] also reported TBX5 mutations in patients with isolated tetralogy of Fallot (TOF). This indicated that screening of a larger, unbiased panel of genes might lead to novel genotype-phenotype correlations. Previously, targeted gene testing was only performed for families with suggestive features, for instance, heart-limb anomalies in the case of TBX5 testing [McDermott et al., 2005].

Genetic testing aims to improve genetic counseling in familial CHD. At first sight, counseling for CHD appears to be straightforward. However, in most families, even though the inheritance pattern is autosomal dominant, there is reduced penetrance and variable expressivity, which complicates risk determination. For instance, in Family 2, several clinically unaffected individuals (besides the obligate carriers) were shown to be carriers. They have thus an increased risk for affected offspring. Moreover, the high incidence of CHD raises the possibility of phenocopies. Indeed, in two of the families, we encountered affected individuals who did not carry the found variant. In Family 2, Individual I2, who had an AS, did not carry the familial NOTCH1 variant. It is uncertain if the patient had congenital abnormalities of the aortic valve, but given her advanced age, this would most likely fit with the more common age-dependent degenerative aortic valve stenosis. The identified novel frameshift deletion in NOTCH1 is probably associated with the defect in the family. NOTCH1 mutations are known to cause a spectrum of aortic valve anomalies, which fit with the phenotypes in Family 2. The frameshift variant was not found in 1,000 normal controls, and is thought to have a high functional impact by resulting in a truncated protein. Finding the same variant in a replication cohort would provide further validity. In Family 4, two sisters present a LVOTO, but only in the sister with COA, a NOTCH1 variant is implicated. This is unexpected, since it is commonly assumed that AS, COA, and HLHS are part of a

spectrum of CHD, with shared pathogenesis and etiology. One explanation is that the found NOTCH1 missense variant may not be causal in this family. Alternatively, the two sisters may carry a different variant. The two affected members with AS carried a same missense variant in EHMT1 (c.752C>G, p.Pro251Arg), which alters a conserved amino acid and is predicted to be pathogenic (SIFT: 0, PolyPhen2:0.995, MutationTaster: disease causing). Mutations in EHMT1 are known to cause Kleefstra Syndrome, an autosomal dominant disorder characterized by severe intellectual disability and characteristic dysmorphic features. However, neither patient showed any manifestation of the syndrome, and this variant was also detected in a control person (1/1000), indicating the results by in silico prediction programs need to be interpreted with caution. This also indicates the value of studying as many affected family members as possible in order to evaluate the significance of found variants.

Familial CHD is characterized by remarkable variability in expression. One hypothesis is that this is related to additional genetic and/or environmental modifiers. Thus, it is possible that additional rare variants in one or more of the other CHD-associated genes cause a more complex or severe CHD in affected individuals. For this reason, we have searched for genetic modifiers in the gene panel we investigated. For instance, the MYH6 missense variant identified in Family 1 explains the prevailing phenotype (ASD II) in the family, but the proband also has TGA, which is a distinct phenotype from other family members, and there is no clear genotype-phenotype correlation between MYH6 and TGA. We hypothesized that besides the MYH6 variant explaining the common defects in the family, a variation in another gene could contribute to the additional phenotype. However, we did not identify in this individual any novel or rare variant in any of the genes associated with TGA, including CFC1, NODAL, ZIC3, MED13L, ACVR2B, GDF1, and LEFTY2 [Goldmuntz et al., 2002; Muncke et al., 2003 ; Chhin et al., 2007; Mohapatra et al., 2009; D'Alessandro et al., 2013].

In seven families, no functional deleterious variant was detected in the analyzed genes. There are a number of possible explanations. First, there are technical issues. Incomplete capture of targets may occur because repetitive regions are removed during the tiling probe design, to ensure the uniqueness of the capturing. Certain regions of our targets were excluded due to the presence of a pseudogene or highly similar sequences in other genomic regions. In addition, during the library preparation, genomic regions with very high GC content undergo difficulties in amplification, resulting in reduced or no sequencing coverage. Although the paired-end deep sequencing strategy sometimes enables sequencing of poorly covered parts of the targets, $\sim 10\%$ of total targets were not well sequenced, where less than 30 reads passing the QC criteria aligned. However, coding sequences of the canonical transcripts of target genes account for only $\sim 6\%$ of not well sequenced region.

Second, our analysis focused on variations in exons and exon–intron boundaries of the target genes exclusively, known to harbor the majority of monogenic mutations. Variants disrupting regulatory elements located in deep introns, UTR or intergenic regions thus remain undetected. A recent study shows possible pathogenic effect of variations disrupting cardiac enhancers located upstream of *SOX9* [Sanchez–Castro et al., 2013]. Third, current NGS data processing methods are limited in detecting genomic structural variants from targeted sequencing data. Structural variants have been implicated in the pathogenesis of CHD, partial gene deletions or duplications disrupting gene function are rare causes of familial CHD. For instance, chromosomal translocations or deletions disrupting the elastin gene can cause familial supravalvular aortic stenosis and supravalvular pulmonary stenosis [Morris, 1998]. A *TBX5* intragenic duplication was indicated to cause atypical Holt–Oram syndrome phenotype in a family [Patel et al., 2012]. Our analysis pipeline did not include tools to detect structural variants.

Fourth, in those families where no causative variant was detected, a novel gene may be implicated. Novel genes have been constantly reported in association with CHD. Since the design of this capture panel, mutations in *GATA5*, *BVES*, and *GJA5* were shown to cause sporadic or familial TOF [Guida et al., 2013; Wei et al., 2013], *MEF2C* mutations were identified in patients with outflow tract defects [Kodo et al., 2012], *NPHP4* and *DICER1* variants were described in association with TGA [Foulkes et al., 2011; French et al., 2012].

Finally, CHD is genetically heterogeneous: a same phenotype can result from alterations in different genes, while different variations interrupting the same gene can lead to different phenotypes. Due to the complexity of the genotype–phenotype correlation of CHD, the monogenic model may not apply to all nonsyndromic CHD families.

In conclusion, although the genetic heterogeneity of CHD and remarkable variability of expression make it challenging to interpret the large number of identified variants, setting up a well-defined analysis pipeline enables effective identification of causative variants. Application of targeted massive parallel sequencing in clinical diagnosis represents a break-through for genetic testing of (familial) nonsyndromic CHD and other complex diseases with high genetic heterogeneity. However, difficulties assigning causality with certainty of the found variants is the main challenge. Nevertheless, in over half of our CHD families with obvious genetic factors, no potential causative variant was identified by screening a panel of known CHD associated genes, revealing a large proportion of unknown genetic causes of familial CHD.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by KU Leuven [GOA/12/015] and EU FP7 Programme "CHeartED" [HEALTH-F2-2008-223040].

We thank the patients and their families who participated in this study.

REFERENCES

- Baban A, Postma AV, Marini M, Trocchio G, Santilli A, Pelegrini M, Sirleto P, Lerone M, Albanese SB, Barnett P, Boogerd CJ, Dallapiccola B, Digilio MC, Ravazzolo R, Pongiglione G. 2014. Identification of TBX5 mutations in a series of 94 patients with Tetralogy of Fallot. Am J Med Genet Part A 164A:3100–3107.
- Barriot R, Breckpot J, Thienpont B, Brohee S, Van Vooren S, Coessens B, Tranchevent LC, Van Loo P, Gewillig M, Devriendt K, Moreau Y. 2010. Collaboratively charting the gene-to-phenotype network of human congenital heart defects. Genome Med 2:16.

- Blue GM, Kirk EP, Giannoulatou E, Dunwoodie SL, Ho JW, Hilton DC, White SM, Sholler GF, Harvey RP, Winlaw DS. 2014. Targeted next-generation sequencing identifies pathogenic variants in familial congenital heart disease. J Am Coll Cardiol 64:2498–2506.
- Chhin B, Hatayama M, Bozon D, Ogawa M, Schon P, Tohmonda T, Sassolas F, Aruga J, Valard AG, Chen SC, Bouvagnet P. 2007. Elucidation of penetrance variability of a ZIC3 mutation in a family with complex heart defects and functional analysis of ZIC3 mutations in the first zinc finger domain. Hum Mutat 28:563–570.
- Cross SJ, Ching YH, Li QY, Armstrong-Buisseret L, Spranger S, Lyonnet S, Bonnet D, Penttinen M, Jonveaux P, Leheup B, Mortier G, Van Ravenswaaij C, Gardiner CA. 2000. The mutation spectrum in Holt-Oram syndrome. J Med Genet 37:785–787.
- D'Alessandro LC, Latney BC, Paluru PC, Goldmuntz E. 2013. The phenotypic spectrum of ZIC3 mutations includes isolated d-transposition of the great arteries and double outlet right ventricle. Ame J Med Genet Part A 161:792–802.
- de la Pompa JL, Epstein JA. 2012. Coordinating tissue interactions: Notch signaling in cardiac development and disease. Dev Cell 22:244–254.
- Ellard S, Lango Allen H, De Franco E, Flanagan SE, Hysenaj G, Colclough K, Houghton JA, Shepherd M, Hattersley AT, Weedon MN, Caswell R. 2013. Improved genetic testing for monogenic diabetes using targeted next-generation sequencing. Diabetologia 56:1958–1963.
- Foulkes WD, Bahubeshi A, Hamel N, Pasini B, Asioli S, Baynam G, Choong CS, Charles A, Frieder RP, Dishop MK, Graf N, Ekim M, Bouron-Dal Soglio D, Arseneau J, Young RH, Sabbaghian N, Srivastava A, Tischkowitz MD, Priest JR. 2011. Extending the phenotypes associated with DICER1 mutations. Hum Mutat 32:1381–1384.
- French VM, van de Laar IM, Wessels MW, Rohe C, Roos-Hesselink JW, Wang G, Frohn-Mulder IM, Severijnen LA, de Graaf BM, Schot R, Breedveld G, Mientjes E, van Tienhoven M, Jadot E, Jiang Z, Verkerk A, Swagemakers S, Venselaar H, Rahimi Z, Najmabadi H, Meijers-Heijboer H, de Graaff E, Helbing WA, Willemsen R, Devriendt K, Belmont JW, Oostra BA, Amack JD, Bertoli-Avella AM. 2012. NPHP4 variants are associated with pleiotropic heart malformations. Circ Res 110: 1564–1574.
- Garg V, Kathiriya IS, Barnes R, Schluterman MK, King IN, Butler CA, Rothrock CR, Eapen RS, Hirayama-Yamada K, Joo K, Matsuoka R, Cohen JC, Srivastava D. 2003. GATA4 mutations cause human congenital heart defects and reveal an interaction with T BX5. Nature 424: 443–447.
- Garg V, Muth AN, Ransom JF, Schluterman MK, Barnes R, King IN, Grossfeld PD, Srivastava D. 2005. Mutations in NOTCH1 cause aortic valve disease. Nature 437:270–274.
- Goldmuntz E, Bamford R, Karkera JD, dela Cruz J, Roessler E, Muenke M. 2002. CFC1 mutations in patients with transposition of the great arteries and double-outlet right ventricle. Am J Hum Genet 70:776–780.
- Granados-Riveron JT, Ghosh TK, Pope M, Bu'Lock F, Thornborough C, Eason J, Kirk EP, Fatkin D, Feneley MP, Harvey RP, Armour JA, David Brook J. 2010. Alpha-cardiac myosin heavy chain (MYH6) mutations affecting myofibril formation are associated with congenital heart defects. Hum mol Genet 19:4007–4016.
- Guida V, Ferese R, Rocchetti M, Bonetti M, Sarkozy A, Cecchetti S, Gelmetti V, Lepri F, Copetti M, Lamorte G, Cristina Digilio M, Marino B, Zaza A, den Hertog J, Dallapiccola B, De Luca A. 2013. A variant in the carboxyl-terminus of connexin 40 alters GAP junctions and increases risk for tetralogy of Fallot. Eur J Hum Genet 21:69–75.
- Gutierrez-Roelens I, Sluysmans T, Gewillig M, Devriendt K, Vikkula M. 2002. Progressive AV-block and anomalous venous return among cardiac anomalies associated with two novel missense mutations in the CSX/NKX 2-5 gene. Hum Mutat 20:75–76.

- Kirk EP, Sunde M, Costa MW, Rankin SA, Wolstein O, Castro ML, Butler TL, Hyun C, Guo G, Otway R, Mackay JP, Waddell LB, Cole AD, Hayward C, Keogh A, Macdonald P, Griffiths L, Fatkin D, Sholler GF, Zorn AM, Feneley MP, Winlaw DS, Harvey RP. 2007. Mutations in cardiac T-box factor gene TBX20 are associated with diverse cardiac pathologies, including defects of septation and valvulogenesis and cardiomyopathy. Am J Hum Genet 81:280–291.
- Kodo K, Nishizawa T, Furutani M, Arai S, Ishihara K, Oda M, Makino S, Fukuda K, Takahashi T, Matsuoka R, Nakanishi T, Yamagishi H. 2012. Genetic analysis of essential cardiac transcription factors in 256 patients with non-syndromic congenital heart defects. Circ J 76:1703–1711.
- McBride KL, Riley MF, Zender GA, Fitzgerald-Butt SM, Towbin JA, Belmont JW, Cole SE. 2008. NOTCH1 mutations in individuals with left ventricular outflow tract malformations reduce ligand-induced signaling. Hum Mol Genet 17:2886–2893.
- McDermott DA, Bressan MC, He J, Lee JS, Aftimos S, Brueckner M, Gilbert F, Graham GE, Hannibal MC, Innis JW, Pierpont ME, Raas-Rothschild A, Shanske AL, Smith WE, Spencer RH, St John-Sutton MG, van Maldergem L, Waggoner DJ, Weber M, Basson CT. 2005. TBX5 genetic testing validates strict clinical criteria for Holt-Oram syndrome. Pediatr Res 58:981–986.
- Mohapatra B, Casey B, Li H, Ho-Dawson T, Smith L, Fernbach SD, Molinari L, Niesh SR, Jefferies JL, Craigen WJ, Towbin JA, Belmont JW, Ware SM. 2009. Identification and functional characterization of NODAL rare variants in heterotaxy and isolated cardiovascular malformations. Hum mol Genet 18:861–871.
- Mook OR, Haagmans MA, Soucy JF, van de Meerakker JB, Baas F, Jakobs ME, Hofman N, Christiaans I, Lekanne Deprez RH, Mannens MM. 2013. Targeted sequence capture and GS-FLX Titanium sequencing of 23 hypertrophic and dilated cardiomyopathy genes: implementation into diagnostics. J Med Genet 50:614–626.
- Mori AD, Bruneau BG. 2004. TBX5 mutations and congenital heart disease: Holt-Oram syndrome revealed. Curr Opin Cardiol 19:211–215.
- Morris CA. 1998. Genetic aspects of supravalvular aortic stenosis. Curr Opin Cardiol 13:214–219.
- Muncke N, Jung C, Rudiger H, Ulmer H, Roeth R, Hubert A, Goldmuntz E, Driscoll D, Goodship J, Schon K, Rappold G. 2003. Missense mutations and gene interruption in PROSIT240, a novel TRAP240-like gene, in patients with congenital heart defect (transposition of the great arteries). Circulation 108:2843–2850.
- Oyen N, Poulsen G, Boyd HA, Wohlfahrt J, Jensen PK, Melbye M. 2009. Recurrence of congenital heart defects in families. Circulation 120:295–301.
- Oyen N, Poulsen G, Wohlfahrt J, Boyd HA, Jensen PK, Melbye M. 2010. Recurrence of discordant congenital heart defects in families. Circ Cardiovasc Genet 3:122–128.

- Patel C, Silcock L, McMullan D, Brueton L, Cox H. 2012. TBX5 intragenic duplication: A family with an atypical Holt-Oram syndrome phenotype. Eur J Hum Genet 20:863–869.
- Posch MG, Waldmuller S, Muller M, Scheffold T, Fournier D, Andrade-Navarro MA, De Geeter B, Guillaumont S, Dauphin C, Yousseff D, Schmitt KR, Perrot A, Berger F, Hetzer R, Bouvagnet P, Ozcelik C. 2011. Cardiac alpha-myosin (MYH6) is the predominant sarcomeric disease gene for familial atrial septal defects. PLoS ONE 6: e28872.
- Sanchez-Castro M, Gordon CT, Petit F, Nord AS, Callier P, Andrieux J, Guerin P, Pichon O, David A, Abadie V, Bonnet D, Visel A, Pennacchio LA, Amiel J, Lyonnet S, Le Caignec C. 2013. Congenital heart defects in patients with deletions upstream of S OX9. Hum Mutat 34:1628–1631.
- Schott JJ, Benson DW, Basson CT, Pease W, Silberbach GM, Moak JP, Maron BJ, Seidman CE, Seidman JG. 1998. Congenital heart disease caused by mutations in the transcription factor NK X2–5. Science 281:108–111.
- Urban Z, Michels VV, Thibodeau SN, Davis EC, Bonnefont JP, Munnich A, Eyskens B, Gewillig M, Devriendt K, Boyd CD. 2000. Isolated supravalvular aortic stenosis: Functional haploinsufficiency of the elastin gene as a result of nonsense-mediated decay. Hum Genet 106:577–588.
- van der Bom T, Zomer AC, Zwinderman AH, Meijboom FJ, Bouma BJ, Mulder BJ. 2011. The changing epidemiology of congenital heart disease. Nat Rev Cardiol 8:50–60.
- Wang X, Wang H, Sun V, Tuan HF, Keser V, Wang K, Ren H, Lopez I, Zaneveld JE, Siddiqui S, Bowles S, Khan A, Salvo J, Jacobson SG, Iannaccone A, Wang F, Birch D, Heckenlively JR, Fishman GA, Traboulsi EI, Li Y, Wheaton D, Koenekoop RK, Chen R. 2013. Comprehensive molecular diagnosis of 179 Leber congenital amaurosis and juvenile retinitis pigmentosa patients by targeted next generation sequencing. J Med Genet 50:674–688.
- Wei D, Bao H, Liu XY, Zhou N, Wang Q, Li RG, Xu YJ, Yang YQ. 2013. GATA5 loss-of-function mutations underlie tetralogy of fallot. Int J Med Sci 10:34–42.
- Wu M, Li Y, He X, Shao X, Yang F, Zhao M, Wu C, Zhang C, Zhou L. 2013. Mutational and functional analysis of the BVES gene coding region in Chinese patients with non-syndromic tetralogy of Fallot. Int J Mol Med 31:899–903.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web-site.